

Feasibility of a Monetary Union in the East African Community: A Structural Vector Autoregression Model

Kamaludin Ahmed Sheikh, Zarina Yusuf, and Mohamed Aslam

Abstract—The aim of this paper is to assess the suitability of a monetary union among the East African Community (EAC) members. EAC consists of five neighbouring countries situated in the eastern part of Africa, which include Burundi, Kenya, Rwanda, Tanzania and Uganda. The methodological approach of this paper is based on AD-AS framework. The study focuses on the symmetry and asymmetry of shocks affecting EAC countries; a four-variable structural vector auto-regression model was used to identify four types of shocks: global supply shock, domestic supply shock, monetary supply shock, and domestic demand shocks. After identifying the shocks, we considered cross country correlation analysis, analysis of variance, and impulse response analysis. The results of correlation shock analysis revealed that domestic demand shocks and external supply shocks were dominant in the region, while domestic supply shocks and monetary shocks were less correlated and asymmetry in the region. In conclusion, the study results did not show strong support for the formation of a currency union in the region at present, but nevertheless gives some hope to a successful monetary union in the future.

Index Terms—Optimum currency areas, SVAR, monetary union, East African economies.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the pursuit and interest of monetary union has become an important phenomenon in economic development. Many countries in the world have the incentive to form monetary unions with the intention of enjoying the benefits of increased economic integration and to avoid the monetary domination of larger countries [1]. Like other regional economic blocks, the East African Community (EAC) had been planning economic integration since 1999. EAC consists of five neighboring countries, situated in the eastern region of Africa (Burundi, Kenya, Rwanda, Tanzania and Uganda) [2]. EAC regional block is unique in Africa as it is the only regional block in Africa which had made considerable achievements towards the economic integration as they had established a Customs Union (2005) and Common Market (2010). They are subsequently planning to implement a Monetary Union by 2015 and finally a Political Federation of East African States [3].

The aim of this paper is to assess the economic feasibility

of the proposed monetary union among the East African Community member countries using the Structural Vector Auto-regression model (SVAR). To do so, we identified the underlying structural shocks that these economies are facing and assessed the extent to which these shocks are symmetric; three different methods were applied: correlation analysis, analysis of variance, and impulse response. This paper seeks to contribute to the relatively scarce economic literature on the East African monetary integration; it also extends the 2 VAR model into a 4 VAR model, as previous EAC researches had used only 2 VAR models which are too restrictive and potentially misleading [4]. The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 discusses theoretical foundations and empirical reviews of the literature. Section 3 addresses the data and methodology. Section 4 discusses the results and findings. Section 5 concludes the paper with a summary.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The Optimum Currency Area (OCA) theory is used to analyze the suitability of a monetary union for a given region; it explores the criteria as well as the costs and benefits of forming a common currency area. The concept of currency areas was founded by Robert Mundell through his seminal paper titled ‘A Theory of Optimum Currency Areas’ [5], followed by Ronald McKinnon [6], Kenen [7], Corden (1972), and Ishiyama (1975); these authors are the founders of the traditional Optimum Currency Area Theory (OCA). The traditional OCA theory describes the characteristics that potential monetary union members should possess before they form one common currency and surrender their national monetary policy and exchange-rate adjustment of their national currencies.

The New OCA theory is primarily concerned with potential benefits rather than costs, it gives a Meta analysis form of assessment which combines a broad range of OCA properties in a group of countries; the most popular methodological analyses of the New OCA theory are “symmetry of shocks” and “synchronization of business cycles”. These two methods are the key requirements for a suitable monetary union. Proponents of the New OCA theory include: De Grauwe [8], Tavlas [9], Krugman [10], Bayoumi and Eichengreen [11], Darvas & Szapáry [12], Kwan & Yan [13], Frankel and Rose [14], etc. In summary, most of the literature on the OCA theory outlines “symmetry of shocks” and “synchronization of business cycles”.

The empirical literature of OCA theory on the EAC is scarce; the following authors have studied the viability of a monetary union in the EAC: Buigut & Valev [2], Kishor &

Manuscript received November 13, 2012; revised January 29, 2013. This work was supported in part by the Institute of Research Management and Consultancy, University of Malaya under Grant PS002-2009A; therefore, we acknowledge their support.

K. A. Sheikh is with the Jazan Medical Research Centre, Jazan province, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (e-mail: kamaludin@outlook.com).

Z. Yusof and M. Aslam are with Department of Economics, Faculty of Economics and Administration, University of Malaya, 50603, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. (e-mail: zarinahy@um.edu.my, maslam@um.edu.my).

Ssozi [15], Mafusire & Brixiova [3], Mburu [16], and Mkenda, [17] Falagiarda [18], Sheikh, et al., [19], and Opolot & Osoro [20]. These studies had used different models and they have reached different conclusions. For example, Buigut, Kishor, Mafusire, and Mburu applied a two-variable SVAR model to test for shock correlation in the EAC countries; they found that forming a monetary union in the EAC is not feasible. Conversely, Mkenda and Falagiarda employed the G-PPP approach which uses co-integration analysis; they concluded that having a monetary union in East Africa could be a viable option. Lastly, Sheikh and Opolot have studied the feasibility of forming a monetary union in the EAC using the business cycle synchronization approach of Hodrick-Prescott and Baxter-King filters. They found a low degree of synchronization among EAC members, but with improved results in recent years.

III. METHODOLOGY AND DATA

This paper addresses the question of the prospects of a monetary union in the East African Community based on Mundell's theory of optimum currency areas. The study uses the SVAR model premised on the AD-AS framework introduced by Bayoumi and Eichengreen (1992), with some extension in variables. The data considered were drawn from the World Economic Outlook database of the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank's World Development Indicators; it ranges from the period of 1980-2010. Before running the models, we performed a unit roots test in order to see whether the data is stationary or not; fortunately we found all variables at I (0). To conserve space, details of the results of the unit root test are available upon request. This paper uses a four-variable SVAR model to examine the shocks according to the OCA predictions. These variables are global real GDP (y^*), domestic real GDP (y), real exchange rate (e) and domestic price level (p).

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &= A_0 \epsilon_t + A_1 \epsilon_{t-1} + A_2 \epsilon_{t-2} + \dots = A(L) \epsilon_t \\ \Delta y_t^* &= A_{11}(L) \epsilon_t^* \\ \Delta y_t &= A_{21}(L) \epsilon_t^{s*} + A_{22}(L) \epsilon_t^s + A_{23}(L) \epsilon_t^d + A_{24}(L) \epsilon_t^m \quad (1) \\ \Delta e_t &= A_{31}(L) \epsilon_t^{s*} + A_{32}(L) \epsilon_t^s + A_{33}(L) \epsilon_t^d + A_{34}(L) \epsilon_t^m \\ \Delta p_t &= A_{41}(L) \epsilon_t^{s*} + A_{42}(L) \epsilon_t^s + A_{43}(L) \epsilon_t^d + A_{44}(L) \epsilon_t^m \end{aligned}$$

where $X_t = [\Delta y_t^*, \Delta y_t, \Delta e_t, \Delta p_t]'$, comprising of world real GDP (y^*), domestic real GDP (y), real exchange rate (e) and domestic price level (p), which are all in log difference forms. The matrix A_i is a 4x4 matrix that provides the impulse responses of endogenous variables to structural shocks. $\epsilon = [\epsilon_t^{s*}, \epsilon_t^s, \epsilon_t^d, \epsilon_t^m]'$, comprising of external world supply shock (ϵ_t^{s*}), domestic supply shock (ϵ_t^s), domestic demand shock (ϵ_t^d), and monetary shock (ϵ_t^m), respectively, which are assumed to be serially uncorrelated and are orthonormal.

To identify the structural shocks, we imposed the following long run restrictions: i) global output is considered to evolve exogenously so that domestic supply, domestic demand and monetary shock do not affect global real GDP in the long run. This means $A_{12} = A_{13} = A_{14} = 0$; ii) In the long

run, domestic real GDP is affected only by supply shocks, this means $A_{23} = A_{24} = 0$; iii) Monetary shocks do not have effects on real exchange rates in the long run. These restrictions can be rewritten in matrix form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta y_t^* \\ \Delta y_t \\ \Delta e_t \\ \Delta p_t \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} A_{11i} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A_{21i} & A_{22i} & 0 & 0 \\ A_{31i} & A_{32i} & A_{33i} & 0 \\ A_{41i} & A_{42i} & A_{43i} & A_{44i} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{t-i}^{s*} \\ \epsilon_{t-i}^s \\ \epsilon_{t-i}^d \\ \epsilon_{t-i}^m \end{bmatrix}$$

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

After extracting shocks from the SVAR models, the study used cross country correlation analysis to examine the co-movements of economic disturbances in the East African Countries. Co-movements of shocks across countries are considered a crucial condition towards integration in a common currency area [21]. Table I through 4 report the correlation coefficients of the identified supply and demand shocks among the EAC. The idea is that the more symmetric the shocks as indicated by positive correlations, the more feasible it becomes for a group of countries to establish a monetary union (Kandil, 2009).

TABLE I: CORRELATIONS OF SUPPLY SHOCKS 2001-2010

Countries	Burundi	Kenya	Rwanda	Tanzania	Uganda
Burundi	1.0000				
Kenya	0.1190	1.0000			
Rwanda	0.6650	-0.3928	1.0000		
Tanzania	0.7431	-0.3205	0.7284	1.0000	
Uganda	0.3137	-0.1466	0.1146	0.0972	1.0000

Table I presents the correlation coefficients of domestic supply shocks across EAC countries, for the period of 2001-2010. As the table shows, the domestic supply shocks are less correlated between EAC countries than domestic demand shocks and global shocks. The highest three correlation coefficients are found to be Burundi-Tanzania (0.74), Rwanda-Tanzania (0.72) and Burundi-Rwanda (0.67). Kenya had a negative domestic supply shock correlation with the rest of the countries in the sample, signifying major structural differences. Thus, the costs of Kenya's participation in the EAC monetary union would be higher than the benefits of joining the EAC currency union.

TABLE II: CORRELATIONS OF DEMAND SHOCKS (PRICE), 2001 TO 2010

Countries	Burundi	Kenya	Rwanda	Tanzania	Uganda
Burundi	1.0000				
Kenya	0.6997	1.0000			
Rwanda	0.5446	0.7024	1.0000		
Tanzania	0.4376	0.5578	0.3733	1.0000	
Uganda	0.4120	0.4630	0.7729	0.7284	1.0000

Table II presents correlation coefficients of demand shocks across EAC. Demand shocks are somewhat stronger than supply shock. The correlation coefficient of the domestic demand shocks ranges from 0.37% (Rwanda-Tanzania) to 0.77% (Rwanda-Uganda). Burundi & Rwanda show a strong demand shock with the other

members of the region; this can be explained by the fact that these two countries are small & landlocked countries that are hugely connected with the other bigger EAC countries. This evidence reflects the symmetry of adjustments to demand shocks in these two countries. To sum up, the findings of demand shocks suggest that EAC countries tend to be affected by similar domestic shocks. Buigut & Valev (2005) found asymmetric demand shocks in the EAC.

TABLE III: CORRELATIONS OF MONETARY SHOCKS 2001- 2010

	Burundi	Kenya	Rwanda	Tanzania	Uganda
Burundi	1.0000				
Kenya	-0.5453	1.0000			
Rwanda	-0.7133	0.6580	1.0000		
Tanzania	-0.1094	0.3284	0.1351	1.0000	
Uganda	-0.4493	0.7711	0.3924	-0.2553	1.0000

The results in Table III and Table IV display the correlations of monetary and external supply shocks among EAC countries. Most of the monetary shocks of EAC members are positively correlated with the exception of Burundi. On the other hand, the global supply shocks of EAC range from 0.65% (Uganda-Tanzania) to 0.97% (Burundi-Uganda); this is high compared to the domestic supply and demand shocks. This shows that the effect of global supply shock in the region is strong and affects symmetrically.

TABLE IV: CORRELATIONS OF WORLD SUPPLY SHOCKS 2001-2010

	Burundi	Kenya	Rwanda	Tanzania	Uganda
Burundi	1.0000				
Kenya	0.9657	1.0000			
Rwanda	0.9873	0.9523	1.0000		
Tanzania	0.7224	0.7595	0.8065	1.0000	
Uganda	0.9680	0.8830	0.9563	0.6499	1.0000

Table V provides the summary of results for both one-way

Anova tests. As shown by the Levente test in Table 5, the external supply shock, domestic supply shock and domestic demand shocks of EAC countries were identical. Only the monetary shocks of EAC were not stationary. Thus, we can proceed to the next step of the analysis of variance. In the Anova column, it is shown that external supply shock, domestic supply shock and domestic demand shocks of the EAC countries do not differ from each other; thus we can say that EAC countries had identical macroeconomic shocks for the period of 2005 to 2010.

TABLE V: ONE-WAY ANOVA TEST

Countries	Levente (P-value)	ANOVA (P-value)
Supply Shock	0.5746	0.6871
Demand Shock	0.1412	0.5456
Monetary Shock	0.0000	0.9888
Global Shock	0.0983	0.5901

After the correlation analysis of supply and demand shocks, it is beneficial to look at the impulse response function (IRF) to evaluate the magnitude or the size of the response of each economy to the various shocks. To conserve space, we limit the analysis to the IRF of real domestic output to each shock within each economy during the 1st, 5th, and 10th year. Details of IRF are available upon request. Table 6 summarizes the dynamic responses of domestic real output to various shocks. In general, the IRF of output in EAC countries to the shocks are small and dissipating within the following 10 years, reflecting a high speed of adjustment. On the other hand, the table shows that external and domestic supply shocks have more impact on domestic output; while domestic demand and monetary shocks have less impact on domestic output. In summary, the IRF of the EAC countries have shown small correlated disturbances and exhibited relatively rapid adjustments to the equilibrium.

TABLE VI: THE SIZE OF IMPULSE RESPONSES OF DOMESTIC OUTPUT

Countries	Time	World Supply Shock	Domestic Supply Shock	Domestic Monetary Shock	Domestic Demand Shock
Burundi	1st period	0.000047	0.036910	-0.006250	-0.015940
	5th	0.002936	0.033897	-0.017090	-0.011350
	10th	0.006944	0.026194	-0.021290	-0.007480
Kenya	1st period	0.014037	0.019865	-0.007790	-0.007280
	5th	0.019743	0.019096	0.001929	-0.006020
	10th	0.014908	0.015148	0.012576	-0.005880
Rwanda	1st period	0.005623	0.042865	-0.007690	-0.015060
	5th	-0.020420	0.014308	0.006513	0.022044
	10th	-0.004390	-0.008245	0.026705	0.019016
Tanzania	1st period	0.005676	0.013401	-0.001640	-0.001850
	5th	0.005077	0.029518	-0.011950	0.002946
	10th	-0.002008	0.019327	-0.038860	0.008517
Uganda	1st period	0.012441	0.026419	-0.007870	0.003802
	5th	0.058933	0.034863	-0.022450	0.010306
	10th	0.021476	0.014122	-0.003770	0.016068

V. CONCLUSION

This paper empirically evaluated the feasibility of a monetary union among the East African Community members. The study had used a four-variable SVAR model that comprises four shocks: global supply, domestic supply,

domestic demand and monetary shocks. The correlation analysis reveals that domestic demand shocks and external supply shocks were dominant in the EAC, while domestic supply shocks and monetary shocks were less correlated and asymmetry in the region as Kenyan domestic supply shock had shown a negative correlation while Burundi had a

negative monetary shock. The Anova and IRF analyses had shown relatively favorable results. In general, the results were in line with previous researches. Finally, although EAC members had shown some degree of symmetric shock, they still need to increase policy co-ordination in order to achieve the desired level of symmetry of shocks. This study recommends future researchers to use quarterly data series & industrial production index to get desirable and better outcomes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Research Project No. of PS002-2009A: Authors are grateful to the Institute of Research Management and Consultancy, University of Malaya for their support in funding this research.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. D. Adams, "Optimal currency areas: Theory and evidence for an african single currency," M.S. thesis, Development Economics, Manchester University, United Kingdom, 2005.
- [2] T. Bayoumi and B. Eichengreen, "Macroeconomic adjustment under bretton woods and the post-bretton-woods float: An impulse-response analysis," *The Economic Journal*, vol. 104, no. 425, pp. 813-827, 1994.
- [3] S. K. Buigut and N. T. Valev, "Is the proposed east african monetary union an optimal currency area? A structural vector autoregression analysis," *World Development*, vol. 33, no. 12, pp. 2119-2133, 2005.
- [4] Z. Darvas and G. Szapáry, "Business cycle synchronization in the enlarged EU," *Open Economies Review*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 1-19, 2008.
- [5] P. De Grauwe, "German monetary unification," *European Economic Review*, vol. 36, no. 32-33, pp. 445-453, 1992.
- [6] M. Falagiarda, "Are the east african countries ready for a common currency? Traditional indicators and cointegration analysis," *Rivista di Politica Economica*, vol. 10, pp. 153-204, 2010.
- [7] J. A. Frankel and A. K. Rose, "The endogeneity of optimum currency area criteria," *The Economic Journal*, vol. 108, no. 449, pp. 1009-1025, 1997.
- [8] G. Feng, "Essays on East Asian capital markets: Integration and implications for economic activity," Ph.D. dissertation, City University of New York, United States, 2005.
- [9] M. Kandil and M. Trabelsi, "Is the announced monetary union in gcc countries feasible? A multivariate structural var approach," *Working Papers*, 2009.
- [10] P. B. Kenen, "The optimum currency area: an eclectic view, Mundell, Robert/Swoboda," in *Monetary Problems of the International Economy*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1969, pp. 41-60.
- [11] N. K. Kishor and J. Szozi, *Is the East African community an optimum currency area?* 2009.
- [12] P. Krugman, "Lessons of massachusetts for EMU," in *The Transition to Economic and Monetary Union in Europe*, F. Giavazzi and F. Torres, eds. New York: Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [13] C. M. Kwan, *Business cycle synchronization among China and her trading partners*, Hong Kong Baptist University Hong Kong, 2009.

- [14] A. Mafusire and Z. Brixiova, "Macroeconomic shock synchronization in the east african community," Working Paper Series N° 156 African Development Bank, Tunis, Tunisia, 2012.
- [15] T. K. Mburu, "A political and economic assessment of the feasibility and desirability of an East African monetary union," Ph.D. thesis, The Claremont Graduate University, California, United States.
- [16] R. I. McKinnon, "Optimum currency areas," *The American Economic Review*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 717-725, 1963.
- [17] B. K. Mkenda, "Is East Africa an optimum currency area?" *G äbeberg University Department of Economics Working Paper*, 41, 2001.
- [18] R. A. Mundell, "A theory of optimum currency areas," *The American Economic Review*, vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 657-665, 1961.
- [19] J. Opolot and N. Osoro, "Is the east african community suitable for a monetary union? An enquiry of idiosyncrasies and synchronization of business cycles," *Bank of Uganda*, 2004.
- [20] K. A. Sheikh, M. N. Azam, T. G. Rabby, G. M. Alam, and I. Khan, "Monetary union for the development process in the East African community: Business cycle synchronization approach," *African Journal of Business Management*, vol. 5, no. 17, pp. 7632-7641, 2011.
- [21] G. S. Tavlas, "The 'new' theory of optimum currency areas," *The World Economy*, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 663-685, 1993.



Kamaludin A. Sheikh is currently working with Jazan Medical Research Centre of Jazan University as a researcher and lecturer, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Prior to this, he worked as consultant in health economics and statistical analysis at 'Total Health Information System Inc' in Kuala Lumpur-Malaysia. Current research interests: economic costing and evaluations, decision modeling, cost-effective analysis in substance abuse treatment, measurements of health related quality of life (HRQL, QALY and DALY), and logistic regression modeling.



Zarinah Binti Yusof is a senior lecturer at department of economics, faculty of economics, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur-Malaysia. Areas of expertise: international trade (international trade, cointegration, J-curve, VECM), development economics (growth, investment, inflation, saving), monetary economics (highly liquid money, broad money, bank lending channel, money channel credit channel, VAR, VECM) and macroeconomics (macroeconomics dynamics, exchange rate volatility, international finance, inflation, growth, VAR, VECM, GARCH, ARCH).



Mohamed Aslam is a senior lecturer at department of economics, faculty of economics, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur-Malaysia. Areas of expertise: international trade policy, open macroeconomics, regional economic integration (free trade area, new economic geography), post-Keynesian economics, international economics (FDI/MNCs), economic development and growth (Malaysia economy, ASEAN and China), international finance (Exchange rate, portfolio capital, asset market), macroeconomics (monetary and fiscal theory).