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Abstract—The purpose of this paper is to make comparison in terms of social network between male and female Malay entrepreneurs in Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in Malaysia. Social network is defined as interrelationship between the entrepreneurs and their contact (alter) in business venturing. However, there is limited understanding on how the entrepreneurs use their social network. Moreover, there is dearth attention given to investigate the social network in developing country especially in Malaysia. Both social network theory and structural perspective were employed in this quantitative-based study. The data gathered through the mail questionnaire method in which 93 are male and 72 female respondents representing 53 percent responded. Using t-test, the study reveals that there is no significance difference in terms of network size, network activity and network density for both genders. Implications and direction for further research are also presented.

Index Terms—Gender, Malay, SMEs, social network.

I. INTRODUCTION

A social network is defined as interrelationship between the entrepreneurs and their contact (alter/s) in business venturing [1]. The conception focus on the actor who connected to entrepreneur and a bulk of researches has analysed the social network between the entrepreneurs and their alter(s). The actors in social network comprise of family members, friends, relatives and business associates [2], [3]. With the aim to survive in the business, the social network is considered as a weapon to secure important resources for SME firms [4].

Over the past three decades, most of the scholars have given much attention in exploring the cause and effect of the social network [5]-[10]. However, little attention given to make a comparison the utilisation of social network between the gender [11]. Moreover, there has been little serious research carried out on social network theme in Malaysia especially Malay ethnic [12], [13]. Therefore, this paper endeavors to report the research findings on the adoption of social network properties; network size, network activity and network density between men and women Malay entrepreneurs in SME firms in Malaysia. The research tries to answer the question of: Do Malay male and female entrepreneurs have significant difference in terms of network size, network activity and network density?

In addressing the above question, we make the following contributions. First, little attention given to study the social network in Malaysia, therefore this study shed light on the structural perspectives to spark the attention in understanding the social network phenomenon. Second, entrepreneurship scholars have failed to notice on how the social network is used and give the benefit to the firm [14]. Therefore, we advance the research on the value of social network specifically on Malay entrepreneurs who struggle to survive in business in their mainland. Lastly, most of the social network-based research focuses on cause-and-effect of the network; however the current study employs the comparative study between male and female. We hope that the findings of this study will serve a benefit to the entrepreneurs especially for those who have an intention to start the business.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A. Social Network Theory

The social network theory was popularised in the middle of 1980s and sought to explain the capability of the network to help the entrepreneur in accessing the resources [2]. This theory, on the other hand, integrates the concept of relationships into the exchange equation. In social network research, the person who we investigate their network is called ego, meanwhile the person that connect with the ego is called alter.

Social network is also broadly accepted as a tool for securing resources from alters [15]. The social network is largely characterised as personal ties and connections that are built on trust [16]. Trust is essential to the social network as it sustains and cultivates the network. Members of the social network trust one another to abide by the terms of the network into which they voluntarily enter. This trust is based on repeated interactions, exchange of resources and shared expectations of behaviour which is strengthened by the accepted norms of the network.

This paper adopted the structuralist perspective to measure the social network. The perspective explains the configuration of network ties [17]. The perspectives included in this paper are network size, network activity and network density.

B. Network Size

With respect to the gender differences, reference [18] found that women have smaller network size compared to
Based on the following reasons; a) The SMEs in the manufacturing industry. Manufacturing industry is selected because it contributes to the nation's economy [28], b) a research on financing in Bulgaria found that women may be at a disadvantage in their social network due to the less degree of networking [22]. Similarly, women encountered discrimination in social network especially when dealing with men entrepreneurs in Pakistan [23]. Therefore, we introduced the hypothesis as:

**H1** There is a significant difference between men and women entrepreneurs for network size

**C. Network Activity**

Research has shown that men invest more time in network activity [18]. Similarly, reference [21] asserted the evidence that there is a significant difference for men and women in amount spent in developing and maintaining their contact in Ireland. A research on financing in Bulgaria found that women may be at a disadvantage in their social network due to the less degree of networking [22]. Similarly, women encountered discrimination in social network especially when dealing with men entrepreneurs in Pakistan [23]. Therefore, we introduced the hypothesis as:

**H2** There is a significant difference between men and women entrepreneurs for network activity

**D. Network Density**

The comparative study on gender associated with network density remains less. However, reference [21] concludes that men have denser network compared to women. This views however against the discovery by others researchers that found women entrepreneurs’ alters have formed the closely knit in their network [24]. Similarly, research found that women entrepreneurs’ networks have higher degree in network density [25]. Another investigation however found that both genders depend on male contact to get an advice [21]. Further, family members made up the significant roles in the women network [19], and women tend to name their friends and family members as a primary contact [26]. Women tend to use the strong ties (friends and family members) because they did not have access to the professional bodies [7]. In addition, women confront with inadequacy of time to create a social network therefore avoid the close relationship with others [27]. Therefore, the hypothesis stated as:

**H3** There is a significant difference between men and women entrepreneurs for network density

III. RESEARCH METHOD

**A. Sample and Setting**

Our unit of analysis is Malay entrepreneur. For the purpose of this paper, we define the entrepreneurs as the owner-manager that running their business for the profit. Those owner-managers will be SMEs that involve in manufacturing industry. Manufacturing industry is selected based on the following reasons; a) The SMEs in the manufacturing industry form a vital component of the Malaysian economy in terms of their numbers and contributions to the nation's economy [28], b) a research on network is likely to be more valid by using single-industry studies which the network emphasizes on strategic critical linkages [5].

The sample of manufacturing companies was compiled through the following sources; SME Corporation Malaysia, Federation of Malaysian Manufacturers (FFM) and Malaysia External Trade Development Corporation. The list was then analysed and a new list was compiled to only include manufacturing companies. The listing were then categorised the companies according to their geographical zones (North, South, East Coast and Central) and stratified random sampling was used to select the sample [29]. Five main sectors were chosen into the listing; food and beverage, wood-based product, rubber-based product, electric and electronics and textiles, apparel and leather represent 60 percent from the total number of SMEs in Malaysia. The data were collected through mailing surveys to the owner-managers listed in the sample directory. Overall, 165 (53%) completed questionnaires were received within four months.

**B. Measurement of Variables**

The self-generated answer to indicate the number of the contact by the owner manager through the ego-network method and a simple count of network contact as an indicator of network size are consistent with prior research to examine the entrepreneurial network [18]. One month was given due to recall back purposes and the use of shorter time frame deems appropriate to avoid respondents’ recall lacks validity.

In order to examine the network activity, entrepreneurs were required to answer a questionnaire regarding the frequency of interactions with their alters. The 5-point Likert-type scale: 1 (very seldom) to 5 (very often) was used to assess the frequency of interactions with the four categories of alters; family members, relatives, friends and business contact.

To measure the network density, the question asked the entrepreneurs to nominate five names of their alters they had been in contact with over the last month. Based on the name listed by entrepreneurs, they are then asked to rate how familiar each alter is with other members in the network through three items which are adopted and modified from [30]. The respondents were then asked to indicate on 5-point Likert-type scale: 1 (strongly disagreed) to 5 (strongly agreed).

IV. ANALYSES AND FINDINGS

**A. Descriptive Analyses**

Table I reveals the respondents’ and firms’ profile. The respondents consist of male (93) and female (72). Regarding the age, majority of the respondents were above 45 years old (41.2%), 41 to 45 (20%), 36 to 40 (18.8%), 31 to 35 (12.7%), whereas only 7.3 percent were 26 to 30 years of age. For marital status, more than half of the respondents were married (86.7%), 12.1 percent of the respondents were single and the remaining (1.2%) were divorced.

For the respondents’ business type, the sample consisted of sole-proprietorship (65), private-limited company (63) and for partnership-based company (37). The majority of the firms come from food and beverage sector (70.9%), while wood-based product sector represents 21.8 percent.
rubber-based product (3%), electric and electronics (2.4%) and textiles, apparel and leather sector represents (1.9%). Most of the firms operated in Southern region (57), followed by Western Northern region (41), Northern region (36) and Eastern region (31).

TABLE I: THE RESPONDENTS’ AND FIRMS’ PROFILE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>56.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>43.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondents’ Age</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25 or under</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-30</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-35</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-40</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-45</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 and above</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>41.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marital status</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>86.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business type</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sole proprietorship</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>39.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partnership</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>38.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private limited</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business sector</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food and beverage</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>70.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood-based product</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubber-based product</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric and electronics</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textiles, apparel and leather</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>States and (Region)</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perlis (northern)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kedah (northern)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulau Pinang (northern)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perak (northern)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selangor (central)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuala Lumpur (central)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negeri Sembilan (southern)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melaka (southern)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johor (southern)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pahang (east coast)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelantan (east coast)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terengganu (east coast)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The second hypothesis comprises of network activity showed that p value 0.176 is higher than 0.05. Therefore, the second hypothesis is also rejected. The last hypothesis for network density, it can be seen that significance value of p= 0.449 is greater than 0.05, therefore we reject the H3. We conclude that there was no significant difference between men and women entrepreneurs in network density.

V. DISCUSSION

The findings of the current study provide the view that gender does not provide the significant difference in terms of the utilisation of the social network properties: network size, network activity and network density. The first finding indicates that men and women are not significantly different in terms of network size. Our finding supports the earlier finding. Previous research discovers that men and women entrepreneurs have almost similar in terms of average size of alters [21], [32]. Further, the findings with respect to network activity suggest there is no significant difference between the genders. This finding supports the view of reference [11], [21]. Their studies state that men and women have used the network at the same rate. Finally, the result indicates that men and women have no significant different regarding the network density, thereby again consistent with the view of previous investigations [21], [33]. Prior researcher has found both genders have embedded in their social network with high degrees of interconnectedness [34].

VI. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our study extends the exploration on social network-based research by comparing the utilisation of social network between men and women entrepreneurs. The survey data provides the conclusion that no significant difference between men and women entrepreneurs in terms of utilisation of social network. Business practitioners can be benefited from our study by carefully selecting and creating the legitimate social network in order to enhance the business performance especially for those interested to create a new business.

It seems prudent to delineate a couple of caveats in this study. First, we have failed to obtain a “truly representative” sample. Most of the sample was located in the southern region (Johor, Melaka and Negeri Sembilan) and central region (Selangor and Kuala Lumpur). Therefore, the findings cannot be generalised to the whole SMEs population across Malaysia. Further, the sectoral biases that are of concern in this study arise from the investigation concentrating SME manufacturing firms. The current study attempts to examine the social network for the following sectors; (1) food and beverages (2) wood-based product (3) rubber-based product (4) electric and electronics and (5) textiles, apparel and

TABLE II: THE RESULTS OF INDEPENDENTS GROUP T-TEST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Network size (log)</td>
<td>-1.157</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>Not supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network activity</td>
<td>-1.361</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>Not supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network density</td>
<td>-0.759</td>
<td>0.449</td>
<td>Not supported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
leather. Therefore, the results produced might differ from that of other sectors.

Perhaps future research could conduct studies across the various ethnic communities in Malaysia. It should also be analysed whether the heterogeneity of the communities imply changes in the relationship of the constructs. Another possibility is that future studies could examine on how industries differ in terms of their social network. The current study represents an analysis of the social network structure for manufacturing industries. The upcoming studies should be aimed to explore the social network for service industries. Attention should also be given to provide more comprehensive studies on the social network between the manufacturing and services industries.

REFERENCES