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Abstract—Classical Ricardian theory of comparative advantage states that differences in labor productivities determine trade patterns. Many publications have focused on labor productivity differences as an important variable in determination of trade flows among countries. However few studies focused on both productivity and labor cost differences and their effects on countries’ export performance. Unit labor cost (ULC) combines the effects of productivity, labor cost and exchange rate. An increase in ULC implies that labor costs rise more than productivity gains. As a result, comparative advantage deteriorates. The aim of this study is to contribute more than productivity gains. As a result, comparative exchange rate. An increase in ULC implies that labor costs rise more than productivity gains. As a result, comparative advantage deteriorates. The aim of this study is to contribute validity of classical model by inquiring the effect of relative unit labor cost (RULC) in determination of trade flows between Turkey and Germany. We used annual Turkish and German data for the period of 2002 to 2008 for five major manufacturing sectors which are food and beverages, tobacco products, textiles, wearing apparel, leather and leather products. Export and import data are obtained from TurkStat. The ULC data set are calculated by using UNIDO value added and wage data set. The estimation results show that Ricardian theory explains trade pattern between Turkey and Germany. Increase in relative unit cost in Turkey effects relative export performance of Turkey negatively.

Index Terms—Productivity, trade flows, time series, unit labor cost.

I. INTRODUCTION

Mercantilism was the dominant economic policy over the period from the 16th century to the late 18th century. However, in 1776, Adam Smith published “The Wealth of Nations” which can be used as the formal beginning of Classical Economics and mentioned the importance of specialization through international division of labor. International free trade theory begins with absolute advantageous theory of Adam Smith and followed by Ricardo’s comparative advantage theory. Both of which mainly focus on labor productivity differences among countries in determination of trade flows. Then Heckscher-Ohlin theory mentioned the importance of differences in both factor abundance of trading partners and factor intensities of commodities in determination of trade flows. In addition to inter industry trade theories, new international trade theories also analyze the determinants of intra-industry trade flows between nations. According to Linder hypothesis, trade takes place among similar countries to provide variety for consumption. Reference [1] mentions the importance of quality differences, externalities of clusters, research on innovation and domestic competition and strategic behavior of firms in determination of countries’ competitiveness level. Reference [2] states that cost advantages of increasing returns to scale industries and importance of geography are important variables in determination of trade flows between countries. New trade models like gravity model states that trade is proportional to two countries’ gross domestic product (GDP) and negatively proportional to their distance. Although there are various international trade models, classical model is one of the most important models in explanation of trade patterns. Classical theory of Ricardo states that comparative advantage which based on relative labor productivity differentials determines both international specialization and trade pattern between two countries. Each country produces and exports of those goods in which the relative output per worker was more than the relative money wages of workers. In this context comparative cost advantage plays an important role in determination of a country’s trade flows. Cost of tradable inputs have tendency to be equalized internationally. Due to capital and raw materials are much more tradable than labor, testing classical trade theory by assuming labor theory of value still make sense.

Price of commodity is one of the most important variables in determination of comparative advantage. Both labor productivity and labor cost have considerable influence on determination of goods’ prices. So, comparing countries relative unit costs may give information about their competitiveness level [3]. A country may have a comparative advantage over commodity exports if the ratio of domestic productivity to productivity abroad exceeds the domestic wage ratio to wage ratio abroad. Labor cost per unit of output (unit labor cost (ULC)) is the ratio of wages to its productivity. Provided that labor wage level remains unchanged, if labor productivity decreases, ULC rises or vice versa [4]. In other words the inverse of productivity that is unit labor requirement ratio is used in calculation of ULC. So both changes in wage level and worker productivity affect ULC. Reference [5] concluded that relative unit labor cost (RULC) is probably the best indicator in measuring industrial countries’ competitiveness level for manufacturing sector. In this context, cost competitiveness of sector i in country j compared with country k depends on RULC and it could be calculated using following formula:

\[ RULC_{ij} = \frac{a_j w_j / a_k w_k}{e_{jk}} \]  

(1)

\( a_j, a_k \) Unit labor requirements for countries \( j \) and \( k \) respectively. It is calculated through getting the ratio of labor employment to value added

\( w_j, w_k = \) Wage levels for countries \( j \) and \( k \) respectively
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As both Turkey’s and Germany’s export pattern relies on manufacturing industry, the RULC variable is employed. So, we expect that trade flows and ULC relationship between Turkey and Germany can be more explicitly explored.

Turkey signed Custom Union Treaty with European Union in 1996. Existing tariff barriers or other protectionist non-tariff implementations are at the minimum level between Europe and Turkey. Germany is also the most important trade partner for Turkey for the sample period. For instance, in 2008 and 2011, exports from Turkey to Germany was 13.9 million $ and 9.8 million $, respectively. In the years of 2008 and 2011, 9.8% and 9.5% of Turkey’s exports were realized to Germany. Turkey’s imports from Germany was 18.6 million $ and 22.9 million $ in 2008 and 2011, respectively [6]. In this context, we analyzed the effect of RULC for Turkey’s export competitiveness relative to Germany over the period between 2002 and 2008. The main contribution of this study to the literature is that it examines the effect of RULC on Turkey’s export competitiveness relative to Germany.

This paper proceeds as follows: Following introduction, section II summarizes recent empirical studies. Section III describes the variables and discusses the empirical findings of the model. Section IV provides concluding remarks.

II. LITERATURE

Comparative cost advantage plays an important role in determining a country’s trade flows. Since labor theory of value assumption, costs other than labor were also included into analysis. There is a negative relationship between output per worker and net costs which are representing per unit cost of production in the value added sense. But [8] added that net cost variable is not as good predictor as relative output per worker or ULC.

The independent variable in [9] was export to third markets rather than bilateral trade volume between UK and US. This study employed relative labor productivity as the main explanatory variable. Results show that correlation coefficient between productivity ratios and export shares higher than net unit cost ratio and export shares. This study found inconclusive evidence that higher wages might be associated with higher export shares. This situation tried to be explained by unidirectional relationship, in which higher export shares might result higher wages. Another study also supported that labor productivity variable correlates with export performance of Canada and the US [10].

ULC for motor vehicle and steel industries for the US, Japan and Industrialized Europe is examined by [11]. Results supported that ULC is a good indicator to measure trade position in these two industries. Another study, examined the structure of trade flows in manufacturing industries over the period between 1967 and 1982 [12]. In this study, to estimate individual industry effects, fixed panel estimation method is used. The results of labor productivity variable are consistent with the classical theory. A positive relationship between labor productivity and export performance was found. But labor compensation variable gave contradictory results. There was both high level of labor productivity and relatively high level of labor costs.

Purchasing power parity theory assumes law of one price for tradable manufactured goods. This theory can be extended for tradable input prices. Reference [13], mentioned that as other tradable input prices equalized internationally, labor is the most important factor in determination of cost competitiveness. In this context input prices also converge to each other. This study was extended by [3]. They tested the relationship between trade flow, relative labor productivity and ULC by using larger group of countries vis-a-vis the US for 21 manufacturing sectors. The countries which are used in empirical analysis are; Japan, Germany, France, UK, Italy, Canada, Australia, Korea and Mexico. In this analysis labor compensation data is used to generate ULC. Empirical results seem to support Ricardian theory of comparative advantage. Although explanatory power of regressions are weak, majority of coefficients are correctly signed and most are statistically significant.

RULC is one of the most important variables in determination of trade flows for manufactured goods among industrial countries [5]. Reference [14] found that even if his estimates had low explanatory power, comparative advantage depends on both factor abundance and differences in labor productivity.

By using quarterly data a time series analysis applied for Turkey by [15]. They stated that ULC has substantial effect in the determination of international competitiveness of Turkey. The 2001 crises caused relatively higher productivity and relatively lower dollar based wages and resulted in comparatively lower ULC in Turkey. Their empirical results showed that export performance of Turkey had increased for
the period from 1999 to 2003.

A comparison of relative levels of ULC for several OECD countries relative to the US was tested by [16]. Their study decomposed ULC effects into productivity, labor cost and relative price performance. Main result of this study is that lower productivity levels tend to correlate with relative lower labor cost levels. Low productivity level rather than high labor cost threatens countries’ competitiveness level.

Reference [17], compared Senegalese manufacturing industry international competitiveness level with Africa, Asia, South America and Eastern/Central Europe. This study concluded that besides world demand, the exchange rate, and relative wages in dollar terms and relative level of productivity are important variables in determination of Senegalese’s export competitiveness.

The relationship between productivity growth and export volume of Taiwan electronics industry was examined by [18]. This study found that compared to other sectors, exporters in the electronics industry have higher productivity. Another study also tested the existence of Ricardian theorem between South Africa and the US on 23 manufacturing industries [19]. They also concluded that the trade pattern supports the Ricardian theorem. The equation of Balassa [9] was estimated in the study of [20]. Although there is a change in value of coefficients, this study also supported previous findings of Balassa [9] that is labor productivity difference is the main explanatory variable in determination of export performance.

### III. Model and Data

Ricardian theorem is used to explain bilateral trade pattern between Turkey and Germany by using panel data approach on five manufacturing industries which are food and beverages, tobacco products, textiles, wearing apparel, leather and leather products. Bilateral trade flow data between Turkey and Germany is used to escape aggregation bias problem. The data set is annual data and ranges from 2002 to 2008. Trade data are obtained from TurkStat (Turkish Statistical Institute) according to 2-digit levels of ISIC (Revision 3) [21]. As it is stated in previous studies, the ULC variable could be used to analyze export performance. Changes in ULC gives information about both labor and market efficiencies. So, ULC data is used as an explanatory variable to represent a link between productivity and cost of labor in the production of the output. The ULC variable is calculated by using wage level and value added data set. These data are provided from UNIDO [22]. Relative export performance of Turkey with Germany is the dependent variable of the model. All variables are transformed to natural logarithms. In this context, to examine the validity of the Ricardian model and measure the strength of the relationship between RULC and export performance. A simple panel equation can be written as the following form:

\[
\log (\text{EXP}_{i,t}/\text{IMP}_{i,t}) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \log \text{RULC}_{i,t} + \varepsilon_{i,t}
\]

where, \(\text{EXP}/\text{IMP}\) is Turkey’s Exports to Germany divided by Turkey’s Imports from Germany. \(\text{RULC}\) is Turkey’s ULC divided by Germany’s ULC.

There are some advantages of panel data analysis such as increasing the degrees of freedom and improving the efficiency of the estimations by reducing collinearity among explanatory variables [23]. Panel data estimation method also offers researchers the possibility to control for individual heterogeneity [24]-[25]. In this context, we used panel data estimation technique and employed some tests to decide appropriate model.

First, we employed redundant fixed effect test and we found that the hypothesis of “pooled ordinary least square (OLS)” versus “fixed effects” in time and in cross section couldn’t be rejected. In other words, the null hypothesis of “pooled OLS” is accepted. As a first result, “pooled OLS” is preferred to fixed effects model. Second we examined the null hypothesis of “pooled OLS” against “random effects” in both cross section and period by using Breusch-Pagan LM Test. According to the test result we rejected the null hypothesis pooled OLS and we conclude that “random effects” model is appropriate for our model at 1% significance level. As a third step, we applied Hausman Test to identify whether “the random effects” or “the fixed effects” are appropriate for our model. According to the test result we accepted the null hypothesis and we concluded that the preferred model is random effects model. As a result of all these empirical tests, we decided to use random effects model in this study. However, to make comparison of all models we estimate (2) by using pooled OLS, fixed effects and random effects and we lay out the results in Table I. In this context, estimation results revealed that pooled model, fixed effect model and random effect model produce the same results. The same results of pooled OLS and random effects also show the efficiency of random effects estimator.

### TABLE I: Estimation Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Pooled OLS</th>
<th>Fixed</th>
<th>Random</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>constant</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RULC</td>
<td>-0.35*</td>
<td>-0.46*</td>
<td>-0.35*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R^2)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross Section F</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period F</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>Breusch Pagan LM Test</td>
<td>66.44*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross Section F</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>Hausman Statistic</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * indicates that the corresponding coefficient is significant at 1% level

The results in Table I showed that the RULC variable is statistically significant and has the expected sign. Increase in ULC cause a decrease in Turkey’s export performance as expected. Furthermore empirical results showed that there is a negative relationship between relative export performance of Turkey and ULC. We may conclude that if Turkey’s ULC increase more than Germany’s ULC, export performance of Turkey deteriorates.
IV. CONCLUSION

Economic theory consists of a number of economic models. Each model tries to explain theories with explanatory variables and make predictions for the future. New international trade theories had focused on both inter and intra industry trade flows between nations. These theories have also being tested with tremendous amount of empirical studies. Despite many complex new international trade theories, this analysis focuses on mainly simple classical theory of Ricardian Comparative Advantage and tested existence of Ricardian theory between Turkey and Germany which is the most important trade partner of Turkey. As far as we know, there isn’t any empirical study to test the relative cost and export performance between Turkey and Germany. As mentioned before most of studies had analyzed determinants of US and UK trade flows. That is why this study contributes existing empirical literature.

By relying on existing empirical literature, the ULC variable is used to explain relative export performance differences of Turkey and Germany. Although cost of capital and raw materials can also be crucial factors for comparisons of export performance between countries, labor cost differential had been mentioned as a good indicator for measuring export performance [8]-[9].

As a result, this study empirically shows that Ricardian theory still has a word to say in explanation of trade flows between Turkey and Germany. When Turkey’s ULC increase more than Germany’s ULC, Turkey’s relative export performance was affected negatively.
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